Systems Biology of the JAK-STAT Signalling Pathway of the Epo-Receptor

Jens Timmer

Center for Systems Biology Center for Data Analysis and Modeling Faculty for Mathematics and Physics University of Freiburg http://jeti.uni-freiburg.de/

Outline

- Systems Biology
- JAK-STAT pathway of the Epo receptor
- A dynamical model for JAK-STAT pathway
- Observing the unobservable
- In silico biology: Predicting a new experiment
- Infering systems' properties
- Conceptional thoughts about modelling

Enlarging Physics, Math, Engineering

• Since Newton:

Mathematization of inanimate nature

• 21st century:

Additionally: Mathematization of animate nature

Man: A Dynamical System



Diseases caused or expressed by malfunction of dynamical processes

Systems Biology

Understanding biomedical systems by data-based mathematical modelling of their dynamical behavior

From components and structure to behavior of networks

Why Mathematical Modelling in BioMed?

- Make assumptions explicit
- Understand essential properties, failing models
- Condense information, handle complexity
- Understand role of dynamical processes, e.g. feed-back
- Impossible experiments become possible
- Prediction and control
- Understand what is known
- Discover general design principles
- "You don't understand it until you can model it"

Why Modelling in Cell Biology?

- Basic Research
 - Genomes are sequenced, but ...
 - ... function determined by regulation
 - Regulation = Interaction & Dynamics
 - Function: Property of dynamic network
 - "Systems Biology"
- Application
 - Drug development takes 10 years and 1 bn \$/€
 - Reduce effort by understanding systems

Examples of Networks I: Apoptosis



Threshold behavior, one-way bistable

Examples of Networks II: MAP Kinase



Time scales/parameters important

Biological Example



в



Signal transduction through the Erythropoietin receptor (EpoR)





From the Cartoon to Mathematical Equations

$$egin{array}{rll} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_1 &= & -\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{EpoR_A} \ \dot{\mathbf{x}}_2 &= & \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{EpoR_A} - \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{x}_2^2 \ \dot{\mathbf{x}}_3 &= & rac{1}{2} \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{x}_2^2 - \mathbf{k}_3 \mathbf{x}_3 \ \dot{\mathbf{x}}_4 &= & \mathbf{k}_3 \mathbf{x}_3 \end{array}$$



Measurements

 $\bullet~\mathbf{y_1}(\mathbf{t})$: Phosphorylated STAT-5 in the cytoplasm

$$\mathbf{y_1}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{s_1}(\mathbf{x_2}(\mathbf{t}) + 2\,\mathbf{x_3}(\mathbf{t}))$$

 $\bullet~\mathbf{y_2}(\mathbf{t})$: All STAT-5 in the cytoplasm

$$\mathbf{y_2}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{s_2}(\mathbf{x_1}(\mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{x_2}(\mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{2}\,\mathbf{x_3}(\mathbf{t}))$$

 $\bullet~\mathbf{y_3}(\mathbf{t})$: Activation of the Epo receptor

$$\mathbf{y}_{3}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{s}_{3}\mathbf{EpoR}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{t})$$

Simulation vs. Data-Based Modeling I

Model comprises:

- Structure of the equations (the cartoon)
- Values of the parameters

Simulation:

- Structure from pathway cartoon
- Parameters from
 - Independent measurements
 - Literature
 - Educated guesses

Simulations



Simulation vs. Data-Based Modeling II

Simulation dilemma:

If discrepancies between experiment and model

• Wrong structure or wrong parameters ?

Data-based modeling:

- Structure from pathway cartoon
- Parameters estimated from data

If discrepancies:

Think about the cartoon ! Learn biology !

Parameter Estimation in Nonlinear Partially Observed Noisy Dynamical Systems

Dynamics:

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = \vec{f}(\vec{x}, \vec{k}) \qquad \vec{x}(t_0) = \vec{x}_0$$

Observation:

$$\vec{y}(t_i) = \vec{g}(\vec{x}(t_i), \vec{k}) + \vec{\epsilon}(t_i), \quad \vec{\epsilon}(t_i) \sim N(0, \Sigma_i)$$

Minimizing the error:

$$\chi^2(\vec{k}, \vec{x}(t_0)) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\frac{(y_j^D(t_i) - g_j(\vec{x}(t_i; \vec{k}, \vec{x}(t_0))))}{\sigma_{ij}} \right)^2$$

A lot of Math and Physics ...

- Numerics to solve differential equations
- Optimisation theory
- Statistics
- Theory of Dynamical Systems
- ...

The Data

Activation of the Epo receptor :



Maximum at 8 min

The Data

Phosphorylated STAT-5 in cytoplasm :



Plateau from 10 to 30 min

The Data

All STAT-5 in cytoplasm :



First Results

Phosphorylated STAT-5 in cytoplasm :



First Results

All STAT-5 in cytoplasm :







Second Try

Results

Phosphorylated STAT-5 in cytoplasm :



Sojourn time in nucleus $\tau \approx$ 6 min

Results

All STAT-5 in cytoplasm :



Observing the Unobservable: Individual Players



In silico Biology: Impossible Experiments

"What happens if ... ?" Investigations

Sensitivity analysis:

- Change parameters in the model
- Calculate the transcriptional yield

Perspective:

Identification of potential targets for medical intervention

Sensitivity Analysis



Prediction of New Experiment

• Result of sensitivity analysis:

Transcriptional yield is most sensitive to nuclear shuttling parameters.

• Setting nuclear export to zero

 \implies Only one cycle : Only 50 % efficiency

• Blocking nuclear export by Leptomycin B confirms prediction.

Experimental Confirmation of Prediction



Experimental Confirmation of Prediction



Why Cycling ?

- Optimal use of limited pool of STAT-5
- Continuous monitoring of receptor activity :

System's property: "Remote Sensor"

Swameye et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 100, 2003, 1028-1033

Bad and Good Models

The typical modelling process:

- 1. Too simple model: Cannot fit the data
- 2. Increase model complexity
- 3. Too large model: (Over-)fits the data, parameters and predictions not well determined
- 4. Reduce model complexity
- 5. Good model: Fits the data, parameters and predictions well determined

"All models are wrong ..."

- No scaffolding for receptor-STAT-5 interaction, 200 eqs.
- Spatial effects, partial instead of ordinary differential equations
- Stochastic effects
- Data averaged over 10^6 cells
- "... but some are useful"
- Capture the main effects, neglect the rest
- Make testable prediction
- Deliver insights

Art of mathematical modeling: Making wise errors

